arXiv:1003.2496v1 [math.LO] 12 Mar 2010

DENSE FAMILIES OF COUNTABLE SETS BELOW $\mathfrak{c}$

LAJOS SOUKUP

Abstract. We show that it is consistent that 2^ω is as large as you wish, and for each uncountable cardinal $\kappa \le 2^\omega$, there are a set $T \in \left[\mathbb{R}\right]^{\kappa}$ and a family $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[T\right]^{\omega}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$ such that

(a) $|\overline{A} \cap T| = \kappa$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

(b) for each $X \in \left[T\right]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$,

and so (i) there is an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subset \left[\kappa\right]^{\omega}$ with size and chromatic number κ, (ii) there is a locally compact, locally countable T_2 space with cardinality spectrum $\{\omega, \kappa\}$.

Answering a question of Erdős and Hajnal, it was proved by Elekes and Hoffman [2] that for every cardinal κ there is an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[2^\kappa\right]^{\omega}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) > \kappa$.

Concerning almost disjoint subfamilies of $\left[2^\omega\right]^{\omega}$, Komjáth [4] obtained a stronger result: *there is an almost disjoint family* $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[2^\omega\right]^{\omega}$ *which refines* $\left[2^\omega\right]^{\omega_1}$ (i.e. for each $X \in \left[2^\omega\right]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$), and so $\mathcal{A} = 2^\omega$. What happens below the continuum? Under $\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_1}$ or in the Cohen model, if $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[\omega_1\right]^{\omega}$ is an almost disjoint family of size ω_1 then there is an uncountable set $X \subset \omega_1$ such that $A \setminus X$ is infinite for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. However, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 1. *It is consistent that* 2^ω *is as large as you wish, and for each uncountable cardinal* $\kappa \le 2^\omega$*, there is an almost disjoint family* $\mathcal{B} \subset \left[\kappa\right]^{\omega}$ *of size* κ *which refines* $\left[\kappa\right]^{\omega_1}$*, and so the chromatic number of* $\mathcal{B}$ *is* κ.

Theorem 1 will follow easily from Theorem 2 below. However, to explain the topological origin of that result, first we should recall some definitions and some results of Juhász and Weiss.

The *cardinality spectrum* $S(X)$ of any topological space X is the set of cardinalities of all infinite closed subspaces of X.

Date: March 15, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25, 03E35, 54A35 .

Key words and phrases. almost disjoint, refinement, chromatic number , cardinality spectrum, Cohen reals,

The author was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grants no. K 68262 and K 61600.

The *density* of a family $\mathcal{X}$ of sets is defined as the cofinality of $\langle \mathcal{X}, \supseteq \rangle$, i.e.

$$d(\mathcal{X}) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X} \wedge \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\ (\exists A \in \mathcal{A})\ A \subseteq X\}.$$

Denote $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$ the standard poset which introduces κ Cohen reals, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_\kappa = Fn(\kappa, \omega; \omega)$.

Juhász and Weiss [6] proved the following theorems:

(JW1) *If $\kappa \le 2^\omega$ and $d(\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa$ then there is a locally compact, locally countable T_2 space X with $S(X) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$.*

(JW2) *If $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$ and $\lambda^{\omega_1} < \kappa$ for $\lambda < \kappa$ then $V^{\mathcal{C}_\kappa} \models$ "$d(\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa$".*

It is unknown whether it is consistent that there are two cardinals $\kappa \ne \kappa' < 2^\omega$ with $\omega = \operatorname{cf}(\kappa) = \operatorname{cf}(\kappa')$ such that $d(\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa$ and $d(\big[\kappa'\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa'$.

However, in (JW1) the full power of the assumption $d(\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa$ is not needed. Given a cardinal κ let us say that the *principle* $\Phi(\kappa)$ holds iff there are a set $T \in \big[\mathbb{R}\big]^\kappa$ and a family $\mathcal{A} \subset \big[T\big]^\omega$ with $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$ such that

(a) $|\overline{A} \cap T| = \kappa$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

(b) for each $X \in \big[T\big]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

It is easy to see that $d(\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}) = \kappa$ implies $\Phi(\kappa)$, and Juhász and Weiss actually proved the following statement:

(JW3) If $\kappa \le 2^\omega$ and $\Phi(\kappa)$ holds then there is a locally compact, locally countable T_2 space X with $S(X) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$

Theorem 2. *It is consistent that 2^ω is as large as you wish, and $\Phi(\kappa)$ holds for each uncountable $\kappa \le 2^\omega$.*

So it is consistent that 2^ω is as large as you wish, and for every $\kappa \le 2^\omega$ there is a locally countable and locally compact T_2 space X with $S(X) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$. Let us remark that Juhász and Weiss proved that there is a simpler way to obtain that consistency:

(JW4) *Suppose $V \models$ "GCH" and $\lambda > \omega$ is a cardinal in V . Then, in $V^{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$, for every $\kappa \le 2^\omega$ there is a locally countable and locally compact T_2 space X with $S(X) = \{\omega, \kappa\}$.*

To prove Theorem 2 we should recall some definition from [3]. In that paper a new kind of side-by-side product of posets was introduced. Let X be any set and $\langle P_i : i \in X \rangle$ be a family of posets. For $p \in \prod_{i \in X} P_i$ the *support* of p is defined by $\operatorname{supp}(p) = \{i \in X : p(i) \ne 1_{P_i}\}$. Let $\prod^*_{i \in X} P_i$ be the set

$$\{p \in \prod_{i \in X} P_i : |\operatorname{supp}(p)| \le \omega\}$$

with the partial ordering

$$p \le q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} p(i) \le_i q(i) \text{ for all } i \in X \text{ and} \\ \{i \in X : p(i) <_i q(i) <_i 1_{P_i}\} \text{ is finite .} \end{array}$$

If $P_i = P$ for some poset P for every $i \in X$, we shall write $\prod_X^* P$.

For $p, q \in \prod_{i\in X}^* P_i$ the relation $p \le q$ can be represented as a combination of the two other distinct relations which we shall call horizontal and vertical, and denote by $\le_h$ and $\le_v$ respectively:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} p \le_h q & \Leftrightarrow & \operatorname{supp}(p) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(q) \text{ and } p \restriction \operatorname{supp}(q) = q, \\ p \le_v q & \Leftrightarrow & p \le q \text{ and } \operatorname{supp}(p) = \operatorname{supp}(q). \end{array}$$

Fact 3. *$p \le q$ iff there is r such that $p \le_h r \le_v q$ iff there is t such that $p \le_v t \le_h q$.*

Instead of theorem 2 we prove the following result.

Theorem 4. *Assume GCH and let $\mu > \omega$ be any regular cardinal. Let $P = \prod_\mu^* Fn(\omega, 2)$. Then forcing with P preserves cardinals and cofinalities, $(2^\omega)^{V^P} = \mu$, and*

$$V^P \models \Phi(\kappa) \text{ holds for each } \omega_1 \le \kappa \le 2^\omega.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. By [3, Corollary 2.4(a)] forcing with P preserves every cardinal. Since P satisfies ω_2-c.c. by [3, Corollary 2.4(a)], we have $(2^\omega)^{V^P} \le ((|P|^{\omega_1})^\omega)^V = \mu$.

For $\alpha < \mu$, let $\dot{c}_\alpha$ be the P-name of the generic function from ω to 2 added by the α-th copy of $Fn(\omega, 2)$ in P. Since the functions $\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\}$ are pairwise distinct we have $(2^\omega)^{V^P} = \mu$.

Let $\mathbb{C} = \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\}$, and for $X \subset \mu$ write $\mathbb{C}_X = \{c_\xi : \xi \in X\}$.

Lemma 5. *If $c \in Fn(\omega, 2) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $q \in P$, $A \in [\mu]^\omega$, $\beta \in \mu$ such that $q(\alpha) = c$ for each $\alpha \in A \cup \{\beta\}$ then*

$$q \Vdash \dot{c}_\beta \in \overline{\{\dot{c}_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}}.$$

Proof. Assume that $q' \le q$, $\varepsilon \in Fn(\omega, 2)$ and $q' \Vdash \dot{c}_\beta \in [\varepsilon]$. Then $\varepsilon \subset q'(\beta)$. Since $|A| = \omega$, there is $\alpha \in A$ such that $q'(\alpha) = q(\alpha) = c$. Define the condition q'' as follows:

$$q''(\gamma) = \begin{cases} q'(\beta) & \gamma = \alpha, \\ q'(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then q'' is a condition, moreover $q'' \le q'$ because $q''(\alpha) = q'(\beta) \supset q(\beta) = c = q'(\alpha)$. Since $q'' \Vdash \varepsilon \subset \dot{c}_\alpha$, i.e. $q'' \Vdash \dot{c}_\alpha \in [\varepsilon]$, the Lemma is proved. $\square$

To construct $T \in \left[\mathbb{R}\right]^{\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \left[T\right]^{\omega}$ witnessing $\Phi(\kappa)$ we need the following lemma:

Lemma 6.

$$V^P \models \ \forall A \in \left[\mu\right]^{\omega_1} \ (\exists I \in \left[A\right]^{\omega} \cap V) \ (\forall X \in \left[\mu\right]^{\omega_1}) \ \overline{\mathbb{C}_I} \cap \mathbb{C}_X \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof of the lemma. Assume that $p \Vdash$ *"*$\dot{A} = \{\dot{\alpha}_\nu : \nu < \omega_1\}$*"*.

Let $(p_\nu)_{\nu<\omega_1}$, $(q_\nu)_{\nu<\omega_1}$ be sequences of elements of P and $(\alpha_\nu)_{\nu<\omega_1}$ be a sequence of ordinals $< \omega_1$ such that

(a) $p_0 \le p$ and $(p_\nu)_{\nu<\omega_1}$ is a descending sequence with respect to $\le_h$;
(b) $q_\nu \le_v p_\nu$ and $q_\nu \Vdash \dot{\alpha}_\nu = \check{\alpha}_\nu$ for all $\nu < \omega_1$;
(c) $\alpha_\nu \in \operatorname{supp}(q_\nu)$;
(d) $p_\nu \restriction S_\nu = q_\nu \restriction S_\nu$ for every $\nu < \omega_1$ where

$$S_\nu = \operatorname{supp}(q_\nu) \setminus (\operatorname{supp}(p) \cup \bigcup_{\zeta<\nu} \operatorname{supp}(q_\zeta)).$$

For $\nu < \omega_1$ let $u_\nu = \{\beta \in \operatorname{supp}(q_\nu) : q_\nu(\beta) \neq p_\nu(\beta)\}$,
and $n_\nu = \operatorname{dom} q_\nu(\alpha_\nu)$.

For $\nu < \omega_1$ let $d_\nu = \bigcup_{\beta<\nu} \operatorname{supp}(q_\beta)$. Then $(d_\nu)_{\nu<\omega_1}$ is a continuously increasing sequence in $\left[\mu\right]^{\omega}$. Let $u_\nu = \{\beta \in \operatorname{supp}(q_\nu) : q_\nu(\beta) \neq p_\nu(\beta)\}$ for $\nu < \omega_1$. Then u_ν is finite by (b) and $u_\nu \subset d_\nu$ by (d). Hence, by Fodor's lemma, there exists an uncountable $Y \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $u_\nu = u^*$ for all $\nu \in Y$, for some fixed $u^* \in \left[\mu\right]^{<\omega}$.

Since $Fn(\omega, 2)$ is countable, there exists an uncountable $Y' \subseteq Y$ such that $q_\nu \restriction u^* = q^*$, and $q_\nu(\alpha_\nu) = c$ for each $\nu \in Y'$.

Let $Z \subset Y'$ with order type ω. Write $Z = \{\zeta_n : n < \omega\}$ and put $q = \cup\{q_{\zeta_n} : n < \omega\}$. Then $q \le_h q_{\zeta_n}$. Thus

$$q \Vdash \{\check{\alpha}_{\zeta_n} : n < \omega\} \subset \dot{A}.$$

Pick $\beta \in X \setminus \operatorname{supp}(q)$, and define the condition q' as follows: $\operatorname{supp}(q') = \operatorname{supp}(q) \cup \{\beta\}$, and

$$q'(\gamma) = \begin{cases} c & \gamma = \alpha, \\ q(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $q' \le q$ is a condition, moreover

$$q \Vdash \dot{c}_\beta \in \overline{\{c_{\alpha_{\zeta_n}} : n < \omega\}}$$

by lemma 5. □

Lemma 7. *For each* $\omega < \kappa < \mu$ *and* $I \in \left[\mu\right]^{\kappa}$ *there is* $\mathcal{A}_I \subset \left[I\right]^{\omega}$ *such that*

(i) $|\mathcal{A}_I| \le \kappa$,
(ii) $\mathcal{A}_I$ *refines* $\left[I\right]^{\omega_1}$,

(iii) $|\overline{\mathbb{C}_A} \cap \mathbb{C}| = \mu$ *for each* $A \in \mathcal{A}_I$.

Proof. By induction on κ. If $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ then let

$$\mathcal{A}_I = \{A \in \big[I\big]^\omega \cap V : |\overline{\mathbb{C}_A} \cap \mathbb{C}| = \mu\}.$$

Then $|\mathcal{A}| \le (\kappa^\omega)^V = \kappa$. (iii) holds just by the construction of $\mathcal{A}$. (ii) holds by Lemma 6.

If $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ then let $I = \bigcup\{I_n : n < \omega\}$ with $|I_n| < \kappa$, and put $\mathcal{A}_I = \bigcup\{\mathcal{A}_{I_n} : n < \omega\}$. $\square$

We are ready to proof theorem 4. Clearly $T = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \big[\mathbb{R}\big]^\omega : A \text{ is crowded}\}$ witness $\Phi(2^\omega)$ as it was observed by Komjáth [4].

So we can consider an uncountable cardinal $\kappa < 2^\omega$. Define an increasing sequence $\langle T_n : n < \omega\rangle \subset \big[\mu\big]^\kappa$ as follows. Let $T_0 = \kappa$. If T_n is defined consider the family $\mathcal{A}_{T_n} \subset \big[T_n\big]^\omega$ defined in Lemma 7. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}_{T_n}$ choose a set $Y_A \subset \mathbb{C}_A$ of size κ, and let $T_{n+1} = T_n \cup \bigcup\{Y_A : A \in \mathcal{A}_{T_n}\}$.

Then $T = \cup\{T_n : n < \omega\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \cup\{\mathcal{A}_{T_n} : n < \omega\}$ work. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $T \subset \big[\mathbb{R}\big]^\kappa$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \big[T\big]^\omega$ witness $\Phi(\kappa)$. Pick distinct points $\{x_A \in T \cap \overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Since $|\mathcal{A}| = |\overline{A} \cap T| = \kappa$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we can do that. Choose a sequence $B_A \in \big[A\big]^\omega$ which converges to x_A. Since $x_A \ne x_{A'}$ for $A \ne A'$, we have $|B_A \cap B_{A'}| < \omega$. So $\mathcal{B} = \{B_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ satisfies the requirements. $\square$

In [3] for an uncountable cardinal λ we defined

$$⫯_\lambda = \min\{|\mathcal{X}| : \mathcal{X} \subseteq \big[\kappa\big]^\omega \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in \big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}\ \exists x \in X\ x \subseteq y\}$$

Although it was not explicitly proved in [3] we knew the following result:

Assume GCH and let $\mu > \omega$ *be any regular cardinal. Then forcing with* $P = \prod^*_\mu Fn(\omega, 2)$ *preserves cardinals and cofinalities,* $(2^\omega)^{V^P} = \mu$, *and*

$$V^P \models ⫯_\kappa = \kappa \textit{ for each } \omega_1 \le \kappa \le 2^\omega.$$

Actually, the family $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \big[\kappa\big]^\omega \cap V : \sup A < \kappa\}$ witnesses $⫯_\kappa = \kappa$. Indeed, $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$ since GCH holds, and the proof of Lemma [3, 3.7] contains the argument which yields that $\mathcal{A}$ refines $\big[\kappa\big]^{\omega_1}$. It is clear that $\Phi(\kappa)$ implies $⫯_\kappa = \kappa$, but the reserve implication is unknown.

Problem 8. *Is it true that* $⫯_\kappa = \kappa$ *implies* $\Phi(\kappa)$ *for* $\omega_1 \le \kappa < 2^\omega$*?*

Finally we remark that the assumption $⫯_\kappa = \kappa$ is enough to prove Theorem 1. Indeed, for $\omega \le \kappa < 2^\omega$ the Fréchet ideal $\mathcal{I} = \big[\kappa\big]^{<\omega}$ is nowhere κ^+-saturated, so by [1, Theorem 2.1] every family $\mathcal{D} \subset [\kappa]^{\omega}$ of size κ is refined by an almost disjoint family. Thus

Corollary 9. *If* $\text{⫯}_{\kappa} = \kappa$ *for some* $\kappa < 2^{\omega}$ *then some almost disjoint family* $\mathcal{B} \subset [\kappa]^{\omega}$ *refines* $[\kappa]^{\omega_1}$.

References

[1] Baumgartner, J. E.; Hajnal, A.; Mate, A. *Weak saturation properties of ideals.* Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. I, pp. 137–158. Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, Vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.

[2] Elekes, G; G. Hoffmann, G *On the chromatic number of almost disjoint families of countable sets*, Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973), Colloq., Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, 397–402.

[3] Fuchino, S; Shelah, S; Soukup, L *Stick and club*, Ann. Pure and Appl. Logic., 90 (1997), no 1-3, pp. 57-77.

[4] P. Komjáth, *Dense systems of almost-disjoint sets.* Finite and ininite sets, (Eger, 1981), 527–536, Coll Math Soc. J. Bolyai, 10, 1984.

[5] Juhász, I *On the weight-spectrum of a compact space.* Israel J. Math. 81 (1993), no. 3, 369–379.

[6] Juhász, I; Weiss, W; in preparation.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

E-mail address: `soukup@renyi.hu`
URL: `http://www.renyi.hu/~soukup`